

**WODCON XVII 2004 – HAMBURG GERMANY****POST CONGRESS EVALUATION FORM**

PLEASE RETURN THE COMPLETED FORM BY **1 DECEMBER 2004** TO:

Anna Csiti  
 CEDA Secretariat, Radex Building  
 Rotterdamseweg 183c  
 2629 HD Delft, The Netherlands  
**Fax: +31 (0)15 268 2576**  
 E-mail: ceda@dredging.org

Thank you for participating in WODCON XVII. We would appreciate your comments and suggestions about the Congress in our continued effort to improve the event. Please feel free to answer any or all of the following questions. Where appropriate please indicate your responses using the scale of 5-1, with 5 being excellent and 1 being poor.

**SECTION 1: TECHNICAL SESSIONS**

	SCALE	NOTES
1. Were the technical sessions valuable?		
2. Did you find the following addressed topics interesting/useful?		
• Dredging and Ecology		
• Morphological Effects of Dredging		
• Dredging and Environment		
• Managing Sedimentation		
• Dredged Material Management		
• Confined Disposal facilities		
• Remedial Dredging		
• Dredging Equipment		
• Modelling as a Prediction Tool		
• Managing Dredging Projects		
• Selected Case Studies		
• Advances in Dredging		
3. Would longer presentations (20+5 minutes for questions instead of 15+5 minutes) be desired? YES/NO		
4. What other topics would you like to see added on at the next WODCON?		
5. Would you prefer parallel sessions on the Environment Day (if organized in the future)? YES/NO		

**SECTION 2: ENVIRONMENT DAY: GAPS**

	SCALE	NOTES
1. Did you find the following addressed topics useful?		
• on the international convention		
• on the European Directives		
2. Did you personally identify with any of the "gaps" mentioned?		
3. Did you find the case studies helpful in illustrating some of the problems?		
4. Did you find the discussion time valuable?		
5. Were you generally in sympathy with The Hamburg Declaration?		
6. Which presentation did you find particularly helpful? SPEAKER'S NAME		
7. Were there other subjects that you felt should have been included?		
8. Would you have preferred more/less time to be given to discussion? MORE/LESS		

**SECTION 3: GENERAL**

	SCALE	NOTES
Quality of the following items:		
1. Conference location		
• Hamburg		
• Congress Centre		
2. Registration		
3. Access to information		
4. Final Programme Booklet		
5. Dredging Exhibition		
• Exhibitors view		
• Congress participants view		
6. Technical visits		
7. Social events		
8. Networking opportunities		
9. Partners programme		
10. Meals and Coffee Breaks		
11. Post Congress Tour		
12. Would you have preferred a smaller/larger Dredging Exhibition? YES/NO		
13. Did you find organizing WODCON in conjunction with a large maritime exhibition (SMM 2004) useful? YES/NO/INDIFFERENT		

**SECTION 4: THE CONGRESS OVERALL**

	SCALE	NOTES
1. Quality of the overall Congress		
2. What were the most useful aspect of the Congress?		
3. What were the aspects of the Congress that are unnecessary or need improvement?		
4. How do you think the Congress and the Technical Sessions could have been strengthened?		
5. What specific suggestions do you have for the next WODCON to be hosted by WEDA?		
6. Final comments, thoughts, ideas, suggestions:		

**Thank you for taking the time to participate in our post-congress evaluation.**